

**Facilitator(s):** Cam Gale

**Initial Evaluation Date:** 5/13/2019

**Evaluators:** **Pam Riddle**, Wildlife Biologist  
**Ashley Losey**, Archaeologist  
**Greg Halliday**, County Council  
**David Williams**, Range Conservationist  
**Todd Murdock**, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Permits)  
**David Pals**, Geologist  
**Jonathan Jew**, Land law examiner

**Gabe Bissonette**, Aquatic Ecologist  
**Katie Stevens**, Outdoor Recreation Planner  
**Doug Wight**, GIS Specialist  
**Bill Stevens**, ORP - Wilderness/WSA/LWC  
**Bill Jackson**, County Roads  
  
**Bryan Torgerson**, Representative  
**Stephanie McKinney**, Assistant Field Office Manager

|                         |                                 |                      |                          |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>TMA:</b>             | Labyrinth Canyon/Gemini Bridges |                      |                          |
| <b>Length:</b> 3.52 mi. | <b>Width:</b> UTV/ATV Track     | <b>Class:</b> Trails | <b>Use Level:</b> Medium |
| <b>Route Type(s):</b>   | Connector                       |                      |                          |
| <b>Surface:</b>         | None identified by IDT          | <b>Maintained:</b>   | None identified by IDT   |
| <b>Origin:</b>          | None identified by IDT          | <b>Constructed:</b>  | None identified by IDT   |
| <b>Jurisdictions:</b>   | BLM                             |                      |                          |

**Additional Information:** None.

### General Evaluation Questions

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Does this route:</b>  |            |
| • either wholly or in part, have a right-of-way grant or is it simply an officially-recognized route maintained by a county or another government agency?  | <b>NO</b>  |
| • provide commercial, private property, or administrative access, e.g., via permit, ingress/egress rights or other jurisdictional responsibility?  | <b>YES</b> |
| • provide a principal means of connectivity within a Travel Management Area or Management Zone?  | <b>NO</b>  |
| • exist as a result of a previous agency land use or implementation-level planning document decision and is managed as a transportation facility asset?  | <b>NO</b>  |
| • provide an important linkage between Travel Management Areas or Management Zones?  | <b>NO</b>  |
| <b>Does this route provide network connectivity that contributes to recreational opportunities, access to specific recreation sites, public safety, or other public multi-use access opportunities enumerated in agency Organic laws?</b>  | <b>YES</b> |
| <b>Might the continued use of this route potentially impact:</b>   |            |
| • State or Federal special status species or their habitat?  | <b>YES</b> |
| • cultural or any other specially-protected resources or objects identified in Agency planning documents?  | <b>YES</b> |
| • any special area designations, e.g., National Monuments?   | <b>YES</b> |
| • any other resources of concern?  | <b>YES</b> |
| <b>Can the anticipated potential impacts to the identified resources be avoided, minimized, i.e., reduced to acceptable levels, or be mitigated?</b>   | <b>YES</b> |
| <b>Can the commercial, private property, recreation or public uses of this route be adequately met by another route or routes that may minimize impacts to the resources identified as part of this evaluation or that may minimize cumulative effects on various other resources?</b> | <b>NO</b>  |

## Evaluation Information

### Commercial, Administrative, Property and Economics

The following items help to identify the purpose and need of this route. This route provides access to the following facilities and/or jurisdictions for the purpose of carrying out administrative and/or authorized operations or for jurisdictional access.

**Primary Access** *(leads directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, and IS the main route used for access)*

| Type               | Description             |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Range Facilities   | Active Allotment        |
| Mineral Facilities | Known Potash Lease Area |

**Alternate Access** *(leads directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, but IS NOT the main route used for access)*

| Type                   | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT |             |

**Link Access** *(does not lead directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, but is required to access a primary access route)*

| Type                   | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT |             |

### Recreational Uses

The following items help to identify the purpose and need of this route. This route:

- provides public travel access to the listed recreation sites using the listed travel modes, and/or
- provides for recreational activity and experience opportunities in the area, and/or
- provides important route network connectivity for recreational access between two or more other routes.

**Primary Access/Uses** *(main route used to access the destinations or use activities listed)*

| Type                    | Description        |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Activities              | Motorcycling       |
|                         | Jeeping/4-Wheeling |
| Modes of Transportation | Motorcycle         |
|                         | UTV/ATV            |

**Alternate Access / Secondary Uses** *(used to access the destinations or use activities listed, but not considered the main route)*

| Type                   | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT |             |

**Link Access / Infrequent Uses** *(rarely used to access the destinations or use activities listed)*

| Type                   | Description |
|------------------------|-------------|
| None identified by IDT |             |

### Resource and Use Issues

The following items help to identify potential natural and cultural resource issues associated with the location and use of this route. This route is located in, leads to, crosses, or is within a set distance of the following resources or issues.

| Resource Type            | Description  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Biomes                   | Crosses Blackbrush<br>Crosses Salt Desert Shrub<br>Crosses Pinyon-Juniper      |
| Managed Species          | In Desert bighorn sheep yearlong habitat<br>In Pronghorn crucial fawning range |
| Special Status Plants    | In Jones cycladenia modeled potential habitat                                  |
| VRM/RSC                  | In VRM Class III - Partially Retain existing char.                             |
| Special Management Areas | In SRMA - Special Recreation Management Area<br>In Recreation Management Zone  |
| Water Resources          | Leads to Ephemeral stream  |
| Misc. Resources          | In Erosive Soil - Moderate Potential<br>In High-Use Filming Location           |

*Note: Specific sensitive resources, such as cultural resources, paleontological resources, or threatened or endangered species are not listed in this report for their protection, but were considered during the evaluation of this route.*

### Potential Alternative Route Designations

#### Alternative A (Current Management, No Action Alternative)

##### Area Designation:

Limited to Designated Routes

##### Route Designation:

Limited

##### Vehicle limits by user type:

**OHV Public:** Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Limited - Public motorized use is limited to ATVs and single-track vehicles, year-round.

**Non-motorized Public:** The public may use this route by all non-motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

**Authorized/Permitted Users:** Currently authorized users may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.  
*Additional users may be authorized by the BLM through future authorizations.*

**Administrative/Official Users:** All Federal, State and Local agencies may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.

## Alternative B

**Comprehensive Designation:**  
LIMITED W/ MANAGEMENT

**Comprehensive Designation Type:**

This designation limits the modes of transportation that can be utilized by the public on this route.

**Vehicle limits by user type:**

**OHV Public:** **Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Limited** - Public motorized use is limited to Less than 60", year-round.

**Non-motorized Public:** The public may use this route by all non-motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

**Authorized/Permitted Users:** Currently authorized users may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.  
*Additional users may be authorized by the BLM through future authorizations.*

**Administrative/Official Users:** All Federal, State and Local agencies may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.

**Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:**

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.

**How Designation Addresses Criteria Above:** By limiting access on this route to only single track use, the potential for impacts to documented resources would be reduced, due to lower traffic volume, low speeds and no public motorized use. Allowing continued use of this route would minimize the potential for impacts to documented resources by providing targeted recreation activity and experience opportunities that reduce or eliminate the inclination for users to travel off-route. Limiting motorized access on this route would minimize potential for impacts to wildlife habitats. By limiting motorized access on this route, traffic volume in the area would be reduced, minimizing the potential for harassment of wildlife. By limiting motorized access on this route, traffic volume in the area would be reduced, minimizing the potential for impacts to sensitive plant species. By limiting vehicle width to 50" wide or less, larger vehicles would be prevented from adding to surface impacts and route widening. Additionally, the potential for conflicts between users of different vehicle types would be reduced.

**Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:**

*(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)*

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c)
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)

**Potential Management Actions:**

**Maintenance:** Signing - Directional

**Mitigation:** Signing - Regulatory

*Potential management actions may be incorporated with an overall monitoring strategy that would assess the status and/or integrity of the potentially impacted sensitive resource or resource issues identified as they relate to various external factors, e.g., climate cycles, exotic species introduction, visitor use levels (type, intensity, and season of use), etc. Monitoring data that indicate a decline in resource integrity or reveal methods of mitigation that proved to be unsuccessful would then trigger adaptive and appropriate responses aimed at restoring integrity or successfully mitigating undesirable conditions.*

| Alternative C  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Comprehensive Designation:</b><br>LIMITED W/ MANAGEMENT   | <b>Comprehensive Designation Type:</b><br>This designation limits the modes of transportation that can be utilized by the public on this route.                                  |
| <b>Vehicle limits by user type:</b>  | <b>OHV Public:</b> <b>Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Limited</b> - Public motorized use is limited to Less than 60", year-round.   |
| <b>Non-motorized Public:</b>   | The public may use this route by all non-motorized modes of transportation, year-round.  |
| <b>Authorized/Permitted Users:</b>   | Currently authorized users may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.<br><i>Additional users may be authorized by the BLM through future authorizations.</i> |
| <b>Administrative/Official Users:</b>  | All Federal, State and Local agencies may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.   |
| <b>Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:</b>  |  |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.</li> <li>• 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.</li> </ul>   |  |
| <b>How Designation Addresses Criteria Above:</b> By limiting access on this route to only single track use, the potential for impacts to documented resources would be reduced, due to lower traffic volume, low speeds and no public motorized use. Allowing continued use of this route would minimize the potential for impacts to documented resources by providing targeted recreation activity and experience opportunities that reduce or eliminate the inclination for users to travel off-route. Limiting motorized access on this route would minimize potential for impacts to wildlife habitats. By limiting motorized access on this route, traffic volume in the area would be reduced, minimizing the potential for harassment of wildlife. By limiting motorized access on this route, traffic volume in the area would be reduced, minimizing the potential for impacts to sensitive plant species. By limiting vehicle width to 50" wide or less, larger vehicles would be prevented from adding to surface impacts and route widening. Additionally, the potential for conflicts between users of different vehicle types would be reduced. |  |
| <b>Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:</b>  |  |
| <i>(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)</i>  |  |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c)</li> <li>• 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)</li> </ul>   |  |
| <b>Potential Management Actions:</b>   |  |
| <b>Maintenance:</b> Signing - Directional  |  |
| <b>Mitigation:</b> Signing - Regulatory  |  |
| <i>Potential management actions may be incorporated with an overall monitoring strategy that would assess the status and/or integrity of the potentially impacted sensitive resource or resource issues identified as they relate to various external factors, e.g., climate cycles, exotic species introduction, visitor use levels (type, intensity, and season of use), etc. Monitoring data that indicate a decline in resource integrity or reveal methods of mitigation that proved to be unsuccessful would then trigger adaptive and appropriate responses aimed at restoring integrity or successfully mitigating undesirable conditions.</i>   |  |

| Alternative D  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Comprehensive Designation:</b><br>LIMITED W/ MANAGEMENT   | <b>Comprehensive Designation Type:</b><br>This designation limits the modes of transportation that can be utilized by the public on this route.                                  |
| <b>Vehicle limits by user type:</b>  | <b>OHV Public:</b> <b>Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Limited</b> - Public motorized use is limited to Less than 60", year-round.   |
| <b>Non-motorized Public:</b>   | The public may use this route by all non-motorized modes of transportation, year-round.  |
| <b>Authorized/Permitted Users:</b>   | Currently authorized users may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.<br><i>Additional users may be authorized by the BLM through future authorizations.</i> |
| <b>Administrative/Official Users:</b>  | All Federal, State and Local agencies may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.   |
| <b>Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:</b>  |  |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.</li> <li>• 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.</li> </ul>   |  |
| <b>How Designation Addresses Criteria Above:</b> By limiting access on this route to only single track use, the potential for impacts to documented resources would be reduced, due to lower traffic volume, low speeds and no public motorized use. Allowing continued use of this route would minimize the potential for impacts to documented resources by providing targeted recreation activity and experience opportunities that reduce or eliminate the inclination for users to travel off-route. Limiting motorized access on this route would minimize potential for impacts to wildlife habitats. By limiting motorized access on this route, traffic volume in the area would be reduced, minimizing the potential for harassment of wildlife. By limiting motorized access on this route, traffic volume in the area would be reduced, minimizing the potential for impacts to sensitive plant species. By limiting vehicle width to 50" wide or less, larger vehicles would be prevented from adding to surface impacts and route widening. Additionally, the potential for conflicts between users of different vehicle types would be reduced. |  |
| <b>Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:</b>  |  |
| <i>(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c)</li> <li>• 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)</li> </ul>   |  |
| <b>Potential Management Actions:</b>   |  |
| <b>Maintenance:</b> Signing - Directional  |  |
| <b>Mitigation:</b> Signing - Regulatory  |  |
| <i>Potential management actions may be incorporated with an overall monitoring strategy that would assess the status and/or integrity of the potentially impacted sensitive resource or resource issues identified as they relate to various external factors, e.g., climate cycles, exotic species introduction, visitor use levels (type, intensity, and season of use), etc. Monitoring data that indicate a decline in resource integrity or reveal methods of mitigation that proved to be unsuccessful would then trigger adaptive and appropriate responses aimed at restoring integrity or successfully mitigating undesirable conditions.</i>   |  |